

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,817	11/25/2003	Steven E. Sadinsky	50833/TJD/G316	8001	
23363 CHRISTIE PA	7590 01/28/200 ARKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 7068			FERGUSON, MICHAEL P		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,817	SADINSKY, STEVEN E.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679	

	MICHAEL P. FERGUSON	3679				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1					
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause			
 (a) \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further co}} (b) \text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below they have the control of the control		E below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucina or cimplifuina t	ha innues for			
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying t	ile issues ioi			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20.24 and 25</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but 	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will no	t he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Michael P. Ferguson/					
Primary Examiner						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 3679

Continuation of 3, NOTE:

The newly added limitations of "removably inserted" in claim 1 (line 6), "removably insertable" in claim 10 (line 6) and claim 18 (line 8), and "removably inserting" in claim 14 (line 6) require further search and/or consideration.